

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Rigby
Given Name	Adam
Person ID	1286901
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Rigby
Given Name	Adam
Person ID	1286901
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The developments are supposed to prioritise brownfield sites, but from the map available (which is not clear and is difficult to navigate) the sites selected seem to be almost exclusively green field sites. As such, these developments are going to have a hugely negative impact both ecologically and upon the quality of life of residents in those areas. It is difficult to understand how building on greenfield sites is "maximising the potential" of our national assets, nor how it can possibly be carbon neutral or sustainable. Many of these areas already suffer from poor infrastructure, it is unclear how building more houses is going to help this.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	A re-assessment of the availability of brownfield sites should be made, and proper, detailed reasoning provided where green belt land is to be built on.
Family Name	Rigby
Given Name	Adam
Person ID	1286901
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets 6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	A reappraisal of the viability of brownfield sites needs to be made, as it stands I cannot see how the plans are in any way sustainable, nor how they can possibly be regarded as improving the quality of the natural environment and people's access to green spaces.
Family Name	Rigby
Given Name	Adam
Person ID	1286901
Title	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,	This development will destroy a huge amount of greenfield land in an already heavily developed area. The area cannot support a development of that size and it would result in significant congestion throughout Tameside. The loss of such a large area of greenbelt land would have a hugely negative impact on the environment, and on the quality of life for residents. A development

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p>is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>of this size would in effect merge Hyde, Hattersley and Bredbury, and as a result breach one of the key purposes of greenbelt land.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>Alternative, preferably brownfield, site should be re-visited and the size of the development significantly reduced to prevent the merging of towns as highlighted above.</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	<p>Rigby</p>
<p>Given Name</p>	<p>Adam</p>
<p>Person ID</p>	<p>1286901</p>
<p>Title</p>	<p>JPA 32: South of Hyde</p>
<p>Type</p>	<p>Web</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>This site involves the loss of a significant amount of greenbelt land in an already developed area. The site selected is home to various ground nesting birds, badgers, and newts. This area is already poorly supported by infrastructure and public services, and building houses on this will deprive the local populace of vital green space. It will also result in significantly increased carbon emissions, due to the nature of the site and it's locations the increase in traffic in the surrounding area will result in significant amounts of congestion.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>A proper appraisal of brownfield sites needs to be made to find alternative locations for housing.</p>